Case 2:12-cr-00780-SVW Document 127 Filed 08/24/12 Page 1 of 4 Page ID #:388	
1 2	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  AUG 2 4 2012  CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY  DEPUTY
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	TIMITED CTATES OF AMERICA
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
10	Plaintiff, CASE NO. 12-CR-780-04
11	v. {
12	JOSE CHAVEZ ESPINOSA ORDER OF DETENTION
13	<b>\</b>
14	Defendant. $\left\langle \right\rangle$
15	)
16	I.
17	A. On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:
18	1. ( ) a crime of violence.
19	2. ( ) an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.
20	3. ( ) a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence
21	of ten or more years.
22	4. ( ) any felony - where the defendant has been convicted of two or more
23	prior offenses described above.
24	5. ( ) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a
25	minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device
26	or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18
27	U.S.C § 2250.
28	B. ( ) On motion by the Government / ( ) on Court's own motion, in a case
	ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (18 U.S.C. §3142(i))

allegedly involving: 1 On the further allegation by the Government of: 2 a serious risk that the defendant will flee. 3 a serious risk that the defendant will: a. ( ) obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice. 5 b. ( ) threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror or 6 7 attempt to do so. C. The Government (\_)-is/( ) is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no 8 condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's 9 appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community. 10 11 II. 12 The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will 13 reasonably assure: 14 the appearance of the defendant as required. 15 and/or 16 the safety of any person or the community. 17 B. ( ) The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient 18 evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute. 19 20 III. 21 The Court has considered: 22 A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the 23 offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor 24 victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; 25 B. the weight of evidence against the defendant; 26 C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and 27 D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community. 28

IV. The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services Report/recommendation. V. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following: A. ( ) As to flight risk: Dee POSA Paper B. As to danger: peo PA. Pepmo VI. The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will: 1. ( ) obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice. 2. ( ) attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror. 

1	B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	VII.
10	
11	A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.
12	B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody
13	of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to
14	the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being
15	held in custody pending appeal.
16	C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable
17	opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
18	D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States
19	or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the
20	corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant
21	to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection
22	with a court proceeding.
23	
24	
25	DATED: OUL
26	DATED: UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27	
28	